

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,350	05/25/2001	Martin Cullen	P-3925-1	2355
7.	590 07/11/20	2		
MYRON AMER, P.C.		EXAMINER		
114 Old Country Road			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
Suite 310			KACHODA, I	WAOKINA I
Mineola, NY 11501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/864,350	CULLEN, MARTIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M Rachuba	3723
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (included to the second to	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>12 <i>June 2002</i></u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	n for allowance except for formal ma ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the ments is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction file	d on is: a)□ approved b)□ d	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in A	Application No
	of the priority documents have beer national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lar 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/864,350

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 25, 2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claim 1 is under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sigetich et al, as set forth in the Office action mailed October 22, 2001.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 25, 2002 and May 16, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that the tile saw disclosed by Sigetich et al does not function as applicant's saw. The recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a **structural** difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152

Application/Control Number: 09/864,350

Art Unit: 3723

USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). (emphasis added). Sigetich et al does not differ structurally from applicant's device. The examiner agrees that the method of operation of Sigetich et al may differ from applicant's method of operation, but such is not claimed as a distinct and independent invention. Applicant is directed to the actions mailed May 1, 2002 and May 29, 2002 for further discussion of this issue.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,350

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA / Y PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723

mtr . July 10, 2002